# **#99LBA**

# MDNA55, a Locally Administered IL4 Guided Toxin for Targeted Treatment of Recurrent Glioblastoma Shows Long Term Survival Benefit

John Sampson<sup>1</sup>, Achal Singh Achrol<sup>2</sup>, Manish K. Aghi<sup>3</sup>, Krystof Bankiewiecz<sup>4</sup>, Martin Bexon<sup>5</sup>, Steven Brem<sup>6</sup>, Andrew Brenner<sup>7</sup>, Chandtip Chandhasin<sup>5</sup>, Sajeel Chowdhary<sup>8</sup>, Melissa Coello<sup>5</sup>, Benjamin M. Ellingson<sup>9</sup>, John R. Floyd<sup>7</sup>, Seunggu Han<sup>10</sup>, Santosh Kesari<sup>11</sup>, Fahar Merchant<sup>5</sup>, Nina Merchant<sup>5</sup>, Dina Randazzo<sup>1</sup>, Michael Vogelbaum<sup>12</sup>, Frank Vrionis<sup>8</sup>, Miroslaw Zabek<sup>13</sup>, and Nicholas Butowski<sup>3</sup>

5 Texas Health Science Center, Durbam, NC, <sup>2</sup> Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Durban, PA, <sup>7</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Durban, PA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> University of Texas Health Science, Canter, Loma Linda, CA, <sup>3</sup> Uni <sup>9</sup>University of California, Los Angeles, CA,<sup>10</sup>Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, <sup>11</sup>Pacific Neurosciences Institute, Santa Monica, CA, <sup>12</sup>H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center & Research Institute, Tampa, FL, <sup>13</sup>Mazovian Brodnowski Hospital, Warsaw, Poland

# Background:

- •GBM is an aggressive, universally fatal disease; all patients recur.
- •Worse prognosis is associated with:
- De novo GBM<sup>1</sup>
- Unmethylated *MGMT* promoter<sup>3</sup>
- *IDH* wild-type status<sup>2</sup>
- - High steroid use<sup>4</sup> - IL4R receptor (IL4R) over-expression<sup>6-8</sup>
- No resection at recurrence<sup>5</sup>
- IL4R is over-expressed in GBM and the tumor microenvironment.
- MDNA55 is an IL4R-targeted immunotoxin administered using convectionenhanced delivery (CED) to bypass the blood brain barrier.
- A Phase 2b trial of MDNA55 was completed in subjects with recurrent GBM (rGBM); long-term survival data was available as of 15 Sep 2020 and are presented here.
- MDNA55: Targets the IL4R expressed in CNS tumors but not healthy brain
- Localized Delivery: Bypasses Blood Brain Barrier (BBB)
- Highly Selective: Avoids collateral damage to healthy brain
- Disrupts the Tumor Microenvironment (TME): Targets IL4R positive MDSCs and disrupts Th2
- Immunogenic Cell Death: Anti-tumor immunity is initiated and remains active after MDNA55 is cleared

#### MDNA55: A Powerful Molecular Trojan Horse

**Targeting Domain** Circularly Permuted Interleukin-4 (cplL-4)

Lethal Payload Catalytic domain of Pseudomonas Exotoxin A (FDA approved in 2018, Moxetumomab pasudotox)



# MDNA55-05 Phase 2b Open-Label Single Arm Study in rGBM (NCT02858895)



### DIAGNOSIS

- Adults  $\geq$  18 yrs
- De novo GBM at initial diagnosis
- 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> relapse
- No resection
- KPS ≥ 70
- *IDH* wild-type only Retrospective IL4R analysis from initial Dx



#### **PLANNING**

- MRI tumor size and location
- Optimal catheter trajectory



#### TREATMENT

#### Image-guided catheter

- Monitor MDNA55 distribution n real-time with co-infusion
- Magnevist<sup>®</sup> Single infusion (median 26.5
- Conc. range: 1.5-9.0 μg/mL
- Volume range: 12-66 mL
- Total Dose range: 18-240µg
- Transient low-dose BEV
- and/or steroid sparing



#### FOLLOW UP

- 1° Endpoint
- OS
- 2° Endpoint
- PFS
- OS vs. IL4R expression
- allowed for symptom control
- (6 and 9 µg/mL cohorts only)

WDNA55-05 Salety Frome
------------------------

- No systemic toxicities
- No clinically significant laboratory abnormalities
- Drug-related adverse events were primarily neurological/aggravation of pre-existing neurological deficits characteristic with GBM; manageable with standard measures.

Related AEs ≥ Grade 3 Occurring in ≥ 5% Subjects (SOC / Preferred Term)	Total N=47 [n (%)]
# of Subjects	10 (21.3)
Nervous system disorders	10 (21.3)
Brain Edema / Hydrocephalus	4 (8.5)
Hemiparesis	3 (6.3)
Seizure	3 (6.3)



#### Long-Term Survival Seen with Single Treatment of MDNA55 (All Subjects, N=44) Long-Term Survival Also Seen in rGBM Subjects with Unmethylated **MGMT** Promoter



2-year survival rate (OS-24) = 20%

### Long-Term Survival Seen in Subpopulation of IL4R High Subjects + IL4R Low Subjects Receiving High Dose MDNA55 (N=32)

Examination of MDNA55 dose together with IL4R expression indicated an apparent doseeffect relationship on survival. (A) Subjects in the IL4R Low group showed improved survival when treated with high dose MDNA55 (median 180 µg; range 180-240 µg). (B) Within the low dose group, impact of IL4R expression (High vs. Low) was significant on survival outcome. (C) In the IL4R High group, survival was favorable irrespective of MDNA55 dose. (D) When IL4R High subjects (irrespective of dose) were pooled with IL4R Low subjects receiving high dose (IL4RHigh + IL4RLow<sup>HighDose</sup>), this combined population (n=32) had a mOS of 14.0 months and OS-24 of 20%. Survival data from the combined population indicates that most patients, irrespective of their IL4R status, could potentially benefit from a single high dose of MDNA55.



# 2-yr Survival Rate After MDNA55 Treatment Demonstrates Increase of ~100% **Compared to FDA-Approved Therapies**

Therapy	rGBM Population sample size, N	mOS (months)	OS-12	OS-24
MDNA55 (All Subjects)	(n=44)	11.9	48%	20%
MDNA55 Subpopulation (IL4R High + IL4R Low <sup>High Dose</sup> )	(n=32)	14.0	56%	20%
	Approved Therapies	5		
Bevacizumab <sup>1</sup>	(n=85)	9.2	22%*	NA
Bevacizumab <sup>2</sup>	(n=48)	7.8	28%*	NA
Bevacizumab + Lomustine <sup>3</sup>	(n=288)	9.1	31.5%	5%*
Lomustine <sup>3</sup>	(n=149)	8.6	34.1%	10%*
Temozolomide <sup>4</sup>	(n=138)	5.4	18%*	NA
Carmustine implant <sup>5</sup>	(n=72)	6.5	12%*	5%*
Tumor Treating Fields <sup>6</sup>	(n=120)	6.6	20%	10%*

Approximations based on Kaplan-Meier curve; NA: Not available References: 1=Friedman et al., 2009; 2=Kreisl et al. 2008, 3=Wick et al., 2017; 4=Brada et al., 2001; 5=Gliadel Prescribing Information; 6=Stupp et al., 2012

- Unmethylated *MGMT* promoter is associated with resistance to temozolomide and poor survival outcomes; subjects with unmethylated MGMT promoter comprise approximately 50% of the GBM population.<sup>3,9,10</sup>
- No difference in mOS was observed between subjects with unmethylated and methylated MGMT promoters in (A) all subjects, or (B) in IL4R High + IL4R Low<sup>High Dose</sup> subjects after MDNA55 treatment.
- Two-year survival rate was 16-22% in unmethylated MGMT subjects treated with MDNA55; an increase of up to 100% compared to standard therapies.



## Comparison of Survival in *MGMT* Unmethylated Groups

Product	rGBM Population sample size, N	mOS (months)	OS-12	OS-24	
MDNA	55 - <i>MGMT</i> Unmet	hylated Grou	р		
All Subjects	(n=23)	10.3	48%	16%	
IL4R High + IL4R Low <sup>High Dose</sup>	(n=17)	14.9	65%	22%	
Approved Therapies – <i>MGMT</i> Unmethylated Groups					
Lomustine <sup>1</sup>	(n=44)	7.2	22.2%	< 10%	
Bevacizumab + Lomustine <sup>1</sup>	(n=102)	8.0	22.4%	< 5%	
Bevacizumab <sup>2</sup>	(n=67)	9.7	30%*	10%*	
* Approximations based on Kaplan-Meier curve	•				

proximations based on Kaplan-Meier curve eferences: 1=Wick et al., 2017: 2=Reardon et al., 2020

# **Transient Low-Dose Bevacizumab Following MDNA55 Treatment** Improves Survival

- In the higher concentration cohorts (6 and 9 µg/mL; n=17), transient use of low-dose bevacizumab (Avastin<sup>®</sup>), at doses typically used to control radiation induced necrosis (5 mg/kg q2w or 7.5 mg/kg q3w)<sup>11</sup>, was allowed for management of symptom control and/or steroid sparing.
- In subjects receiving transient low-dose bevacizumab: (A) mOS was 21.8 months in all subjects, OS-24 was 44%; (B) mOS was 18.6 months in the sub-population of IL4R High subjects and IL4R Low subjects receiving high dose MDNA55, OS-24 was 38%. Median number of cycles of bevacizumab was 3 cycles in both groups.







Group	Ν	mOS (months)	OS-12	OS-18	OS-24
On-study Low dose Avastin use	8	18.6	63%	50%	38%
No On-study Avastin Use	7	6.1	43%	14%	NE

NE = Not evaluable due to limited data for this time point

nOS	OS-12	OS-24
3.7	58%	17%
8.1	13%	0%

mOS	OS-12	OS-24
14.0	56%	20%
8.1	13%	0%

S-24 16% 22% 10% < 5%

25	30
Treatr	nent

Therapy	N	mPFS	PFS-12		
MDNA55 Groups					
All Subjects	41	3.6*	27%		
IL4R High + IL4R Low <sup>High Dose</sup>	32	3.0*	24%		

**Prolonged Progression-Free Survival After MDNA55 Treatment** 

Approved Therapies				
Avastin <sup>1</sup>	85	4.2	10%**	
Avastin <sup>2</sup>	48	4.0	10%**	
Lomustine <sup>3</sup>	149	1.5	2%**	
Avastin + Lomustine <sup>3</sup>	288	4.2	10%**	

\* Assessed by mRANO criteria using radiologic data only; \*\* Approximations based on Kaplan-Meier curve. References: 1=Friedman et al., 2009; 2=Kreisl et al. 2008; 3=Wick 2017



TTF = Tumor Treating Fields; LOM = Lomustine; MGMT U = MGMT unmethylated promoter Refences: 1=Brada et al., 2001; 2=Gliadel FDA Label 2018; 3=Stupp et al., 2012; 4=Wick et al., 2017; 5=Friedman et al., 2009

# **Summary and Conclusions:**

- The MDNA55-05 study enrolled rGBM patients with limited treatment options and poor prognostic factors with an expected mOS of only 6-9 months, OS-24 of 0-10% and PFS-12 of 0-10%.
- Single treatment with MDNA55 demonstrated a ~50% increase in mOS and a ~100% increase in 2-year survival compared to FDA-approved therapies:
  - Median OS was 11.9 months in all subjects and 14.0 months in sub-population of IL4R High subjects and IL4R Low subjects receiving high dose MDNA55.
  - OS-24 was 20% in both groups.
- PFS at 12 months was 24-27% in subjects treated with MDNA55, an increase of > 100% compared to standard therapies.
- An increase of up to 100% in 2-year survival was also seen in subjects with unmethylated MGMT promoter, indicating that MDNA55 may provide benefit to GBM patients resistant to temozolomide.
- Transient low dose bevacizumab further improved survival: mOS was 21.8 months with OS-24 of 44% in all subjects and 18.6 months with OS-24 of 38% in IL4R High + IL4R Low<sup>High Dose</sup> subjects.
- Findings demonstrate that by combining precise drug delivery and targeting the IL4R, single treatment with MDNA55 has potential to present a superior treatment option for improved survival and tumor control in rGBM patients who otherwise rapidly succumb to this disease

REFERENCES: 1) Mineo et al., Acta Neurochir. 2005; 2) Yan et al., NEJM 2009; 3) Hegi et al., NEJM 2005; 4) Wong et al. BJC 2015; 5) Van Linde et al. J. Neurooncol. 2017; 6) Kohanbash et al. Cancer Res. 2013; 7) Han and Puri. J of Neuro-Oncology 2018; 8) D'Alessandro et al., Cancers (Basel). 2019; 9) Smrdel et al., Radiol Oncol. 2016; 10) Wick et al. Nature Rev Neurology. 2014; 11) Delishaj et al., J Clin Med Res. 2017

Corresponding author email: john.sampson@duke.edu



Cancer Prevention & Research Institute of Texas